BRYAH RESOURCES LIMITED

Further High-Grade Manganese at Black Hill Prospect

HIGHLIGHTS

- **Surficial high-grade manganese recorded in latest round of rock chip sampling at Black Hill Prospect: -**
  - **48.20% and 42.23% Mn assays recorded from top of mesa, and**
  - **46.92% and 32.19% Mn assays recorded from surrounding flats.**
- **Manganese results consistent with earlier rock chip sampling and confirm need to undertake drilling to test manganese extent and grades at depth at Black Hill Prospect.**
- **Drilling of Black Hill Prospect to commence following site clearances.**
- **VTEM survey interpretation underway to identify manganese and copper-gold targets.**
- **Bryah joins International Manganese Institute (IMnI).**

Bryah Resources Limited ("Bryah" or "the Company") is pleased to provide an update on its manganese exploration within its Bryah Basin Project in central Western Australia.

A follow-up site visit by Company personnel in April 2018, collected 5 rock chip samples from the Black Hill Prospect (see Figure 1) and laboratory results have now been received.

The best assay results were 48.20% and 42.23% Mn recorded from two samples collected from the top of Black Hill, a manganese capped mesa where a previous sample recorded 49.5% Mn (see ASX announcement dated 8 March 2018).

On the flats surrounding the Black Hill mesa, 2 samples recorded 46.92% and 32.19% Mn. These samples were collected near where an earlier sample recorded 52.12% Mn (see ASX announcement dated 3 May 2018).

Details of the 5 sample assay results are shown in Table 1 and in Figure 2.

The high-grade manganese results from these rock chip samples are consistent with earlier rock chip sampling at the mesa cap and on the surrounding flats at the Black Hills Prospect (see Figure 2), however drilling is required to test the extent and manganese grades at depth. Therefore, the Company intends to drill test the Black Hill Prospect as soon as possible following receipt of the necessary site clearances.

Address
Level 1, 85 Havelock Street
West Perth WA 6005
Tel: +61 8 9321 0001
Email: info@bryah.com.au

ASX Code: BYH
ABN: 59 616 795 245
Shares on issue: 56,350,120
Latest Share Price: $0.15
Market Capitalisation: $8.45M



Figure 1 – Bryah Basin Tenements and Regional Geology Map



Figure 2 – Satellite imagery showing Black Hill Prospect and sample locations

Black Hill Prospect

The Black Hill prospect is dominated by a manganese capped mesa (see Plates 1 & 2).

The top of the mesa is approximately 75 metres long, 25-30 metres wide and stands approximately 20 metres above the surrounding terrain. There is evidence of manganiferous scree on the steep slopes of the mesa as well as on the surrounding flat terrain.

Follow-up Exploration Activities

An exploration team is presently on site undertaking the following activities:

- Site reconnaissance, mapping and sampling of manganese exploration targets within the Company's tenements and on ground recently secured under the Option Agreements with Peak Hill Manganese Pty Ltd (see ASX announcement dated 7 May 2018).
- Marking out drill lines for manganese and copper-gold drilling ahead of an upcoming heritage survey scheduled for completion in early June 2018, and
- A soil geochemistry survey on a 500m x 500m grid over a large portion of the Company's tenement package which will assist in exploration for copper-gold and manganese deposits.

Plate 1 – View of Manganese capped mesa at Black Hill looking West

Plate 2 – Top of the Black Hill mesa shown in Plate 1 (looking south towards sample BRYRK100 location)

EM surveys

The final processed data from the recent completed Bryah Basin airborne electromagnetic (EM) survey (see ASX announcement dated 18 March 2018) was received late last week from Geotech in Canada and final interpretative work by a team of geophysicists at Resource Potentials Pty Ltd has begun. This interpretive work should be completed within 4 weeks.

A survey team will also mobilise in the coming weeks to undertake a ground-based Moving Loop EM (MLEM) surveys over 3 high priority target areas. The results of the MLEM surveys will feed directly into the airborne EM survey interpretative work being undertaken.

Bryah joins IMnI

Last week Bryah was accepted as a member of the International Manganese Institute.

The International Manganese Institute (IMnI) is a not-for-profit industry association that represents manganese ore and alloy producers, manufacturers of metallurgical products or chemical compounds, trading houses, industry service providers, companies involved in manganese business development, universities and research organizations around the world. Founded in 1975, with headquarters in Paris, France, IMnI's mission is to provide vision and guidance to the manganese industry by promoting economic, social and environmental responsibility and sustainability to all stakeholders.

IMnI members have access to manganese production statistics by country from the IMnI online database, which is updated on a monthly basis.

The Company believes that IMnI membership will provide the Company with a valuable network of market participants and knowledge as it embarks on its manganese strategy.

The Company will be participating in the IMnI's annual conference in Malaysia in June 2018 which includes a technical tour in Sarawak of three smelters which produce a variety of ferroalloy and manganese alloy products.

For Further Information, please contact

Neil Marston Managing Director

Tel: +618 9321 0001

Table 1 – Bryah Basin Project Manganese Samples - Laboratory Results

Sample ID	*Northing mN*	*Easting mE*	*Prospect Location*	*Description*	*Mn %*	*Fe_2O_3 %*
BRYRK100	7186777	643378	Black Hill	In situ rock – top of mesa	48.20	14.98
BRYRK101	7186767	643381	Black Hill	In situ rock – top of mesa	42.23	20.73
BRYRK102	7186768	643375	Black Hill	Base of Mesa – iron ore sample	0.90	66.81
BRYRK103	7186690	643439	Black Hill	In situ rock? – on flats	46.92	14.64
BRYRK104	7186662	643413	Black Hill	Rill sample - on flats	32.19	22.64

About Bryah Resources Limited

In October 2017 Bryah Resources Limited was admitted to the official list on the Australian Securities Exchange (ASX). The Company is a copper-gold-manganese focused explorer with 2 projects located in central Western Australia, being the 718 km^2 Bryah Basin Project and the 202km^2 Gabanintha Project.

The Bryah Basin is host to the high-grade copper-gold mines at DeGrussa, discovered by Sandfire Resources NL in 2009, and at Horseshoe Lights, which was mined up until 1994. The Bryah Basin also has several historical and current manganese mines.

Bryah Resources Limited's exploration strategy is:

- *to apply the best and latest exploration methods to evaluate the ground;*
- *to use high resolution geophysics to identify deeper structures and potentially mineralised zones;*
- *to drill test targets below the depth of previous drilling, and*
- *to apply maximum funds on exploration activities.*

At Gabanintha, Bryah holds the rights to all minerals except Vanadium/Uranium/Cobalt/Chromium/ Titanium/Lithium/Tantalum/Manganese & Iron Ore (Excluded Minerals). Australian Vanadium Limited retains 100% rights in the Excluded Minerals on the Gabanintha Project.

Competent Persons Statement

The information in this announcement that relates to Exploration Results is based on information compiled by Mr Rohan Williams, who is a Member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr Williams is an employee of Bryah Resources Limited ("the Company"). Rohan Williams has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Rohan Williams consents to the inclusion in this announcement of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

Forward Looking Statements

This report may contain certain "forward-looking statements" which may not have been based solely on historical facts, but rather may be based on the Company's current expectations about future events and results. Where the Company expresses or implies an expectation or belief as to future events or results, such expectation or belief is expressed in good faith and believed to have a reasonable basis. However, forward looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties, assumptions and other factors which could cause actual results to differ materially from future results expressed, projected or implied by such forward-looking statements. Readers should not place undue reliance on forward looking information. The Company does not undertake any obligation to release publicly any revisions to any "forward looking statement" to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this report, or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events, except as may be required under applicable securities laws.

Manganese Exploration and Sampling

JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 Exploration Results

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	• *Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.* • *Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.* • *Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.* • *In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases, more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.*	• Rock samples were collected with a sample size of between 2.6kg and 5.6kg from recorded locations.
Drilling techniques	• *Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).*	• No drilling undertaken in this programme.
Drill sample recovery	• *Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.* • *Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.* • *Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.*	• No drilling undertaken in this programme.
Logging	• *Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.* • *Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.* • *The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.*	• No drilling undertaken in this programme.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	• *If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.* • *If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.* • *For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.* • *Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.* • *Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.* • *Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.*	• The sample sizes are considered appropriate to correctly represent the surface manganese mineralisation.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	• *The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.* • *For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.* • *Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.*	• Laboratory checks and samples containing standards were included in the analyses.
Verification of sampling and assaying	• *The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.* • *The use of twinned holes.* • *Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.* • *Discuss any adjustment to assay data.*	• No drilling undertaken in this programme.
Location of data points	• *Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.* • *Specification of the grid system used.* • *Quality and adequacy of topographic control.*	• All sample locations were located by the Field Geologist using a conventional hand-held GPS. • The grid system for the Bryah Project is MGA_GDA94 Zone 50.
Data spacing and distribution	• *Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.* • *Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.* • *Whether sample compositing has been applied.*	• As this programme was a reconnaissance programme the sample results are indicative in nature and are not necessarily representative of the surrounding geology. • Outcrop samples were not composited.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	• *Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.* • *If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.*	• No drilling undertaken in this programme, so the relationship of samples collected to geological structures is not known.
Sample security	• *The measures taken to ensure sample security.*	• The samples collected were placed in calico bags and transported to the relevant Perth laboratory by courier. • Sample security was not considered a significant risk.
Audits or reviews	• *The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.*	• The Company database has been compiled from primary data by independent database consultants and was based on original assay data and historical database compilations. • A regular review of the data and sampling techniques is carried out internally.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	• *Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.* • *The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.*	• The relevant tenement (E52/3237) is 100% owned by Bryah Resources Limited. • At the time of reporting, there are no known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area and the tenements are in good standing.
Exploration done by other parties	• *Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.*	• The manganese deposits in the region were discovered during the gold rush period between 1897 and 1911 however were of little interest to explorers at the time. • Mining operations between 1948 and 1967 received the focus of early exploration. • Manganese exploration conducted by BHP Limited, King Mining Corporation Ltd, Valiant Consolidated Ltd and various others since the 1960's was concentrated mainly around the historic pits at Elsa Group, Millidie, Horseshoe South, Mudderwearie and Ravelstone. • Tuart Resources Limited and Peak Hill Manganese Pty Ltd undertook regional exploration over a large portion of the Bryah and Padbury Basins in the period after 2000, identifying numerous manganese anomalies from satellite imagery and aerial photography. Only limited on-ground exploration of many of these anomalies was undertaken.
Geology	• *Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.*	• These manganese occurrences are within the Lower Proterozoic Bryah and Padbury Basins. Manganese deposits are a product of prolonged weathering and oxidation of sedimentary rocks and chemical concentration and re-deposition of manganese within ancient drainage systems. Most of the manganese deposits are remnants of former drainage palaeochannels. Although detailed surveys have not been completed, the location of most manganese deposits appears to be at about the elevation of the former palaeosurface. These deposits are now left as hilltop mesas or cappings (inverted relief).

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary

Drill hole Information	• *A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:*
	  ○ *easting and northing of the drill hole collar*
	  ○ *elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar*
	  ○ *dip and azimuth of the hole*
	  ○ *down hole length and interception depth*
	  ○ *hole length.*
	• *If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.*
		• No drilling undertaken in this programme.

Data aggregation methods	• *In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.* • *Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.* • *The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.*	• No high-grade cuts have been applied to the reporting of exploration results. • No metal equivalent values have been used.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	• *These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.* • *If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.* • *If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known').*	• As this programme was a limited programme of reconnaissance sampling no relationships can be established.
Diagrams	• *Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.*	• See attached figures within this announcement.
Balanced reporting	• *Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.*	• All results are reported without any cut-off grades.
Other substantive exploration data	• *Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.*	• No other exploration data available.
Further work	• *The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).* • *Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.*	• Drilling has been planned by the Company but not undertaken to date.